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# BAKED PRODUCTS: Consumer Quality, <br> Composition, Yield, and Preparation <br> Time of Various Market Forms 

By Ruth H. Matthews, Elizabeth W. Murphy, Anve C. Marsh, and Elsie H. Dawson Human Nutrition Research Division, Agricultural Research Service

## SUMMARY

Preparation time and yield were determined for 106 homemade and commercial forms of baked products, including breads, cakes, pies, cookies, and frostings. Objectire measurements of compressibility and shear force were determined for 87 baked products.

Proximate composition of homemade and commercial forms of baked products was determined for 72 ready-to-serve, 21 ready-to-bake, and 62 dry-mix items. In addition, eight mineral elements were determined on nine cake and brownie mixes, by using emission spectroscopy.

Commercial forms of baked products saved actire time (time requiring constant attention) and, except for some frozen products and a few mixes, saved total time for food preparation. Mixes saved less active preparation time than did other commercial forms of baked products.

Compressibility measurements on cakes, corn muffins, and yeast rolls prepared from mixes were usually higher, an indication of greater softness, than those on other processed or homemade forms of these products.

Shear-force readings on baking powder biscuits, sugar cookies, pie crusts, pancakes, and waffles varied considerably among the forms; i.e., frozen, mix, or homemade. Cookies baked from some mixes and from refrigerated (chilled in roll) dough, and pancakes and waffles made from mixes
were more tender than the corresponding homemade products. The frozen form of waffles or pancakes was consistently less tender than other forms investigated, but frozen baking powder biscuits, when baked, were equal to the homemade biscuits in tenderness.

Commercial products were usually higher in moisture and ash, and lower in fat, protein, and energy value than the corresponding homemade items. With few exceptions, proximate composition of dry mixes varied little among brands or over the period of time covered by the study for the same brand of a given mix type. Frostings from frozen and bakery cakes were equal to or greater than the cakes in fat, carbohydrate, and caloric values per 100 grams.

Although whole pies lost weight through evaporation losses during baking and cooling, the crust gained in weight and moisture content because of release of moisture from the filling. For most other ready-to-bake items, moisture losses during cooking were usually between 20 and 30 percent, with accompanying smaller increases in values of other proximate components.

Spectrographic analyses for minerals in dry cake or brownie mixes indicated that baked products made from the mixes contaned approximately the same levels of calcium and phosphorus, and two-thirds the amounts of sodium and potassium, of corresponding homemade items.

## PURPOSE OF THE INVESTIGATION

Baked products are a prominent part of the diet of most Americans. Three out of four homemakers in the United States in 1955 baked cake, pie, or some kind of quick bread each week (12). ${ }^{1}$ A substantial number of these homemakers baked
their products from mixes. The success of such mixes in receiving consumer acceptance has en-

[^0]couraged manufacturers to make available even more partly prepared forms of baked goods. Frozen and chilled dough forms of baked products, in particular, are becoming increasingly a vailable.

The consumer is being forced constantly to select from the various commercial forms of baked goods. Information on relative preparation times, yield, cost, and quality of homemade and commercial forms of baked goods is needed, so that she can choose intelligently in terms of time and money and of the eating quality of the foods. Information on nutrient content is also needed, since the different forms are not necessarily equal in nutritive value.

More and more special ingredients are used in mixes and in commercially prepared products to prolong shelf life, improve baking performance, and maintain constant quality levels. Additives permit changes in the standard proportion of in-gredients-the use of emulsifiers may permit reduction in fat content of cake mixes without impairing their functional and eating qualities. Such changes in formulation could possibly result in products that differ considerably from the homemade form in nutritive content.

Studies already reported have been primarily concerned with comparisons of cost and preparation time for homemade and commercially prepared foods. Information on yields, quality measurements, and nutrient composition of the products compared was not usually available. Asp and coworkers (1) investigated preparation time and cost of cookies, biscuits, and pie crusts made in the home from ingredients, from homemade mix, and from commercial mix. They found the commercial mix to be the most expensive and to take the least preparation time. Kolmer and Gartner (7) reported the time and cost of a variety of foods including baked products such as cookies, pies, cakes, biscuits, and hot rolls. Of the forms of hot rolls investigated in their research, the frozen form required the longest preparation time. In all other baked products, the homemade form re-
quired the longest preparation time and, in most cases, was the least expensive.

Weiss (14) compared the cost, preparation time, and acceptability of three typical meals daily. These meals were prepared by two persons (one in the home and one in the laboratory) from basic ingredients, from partly prepared foods, and from ready-to-serve foods. She concluded that much time was saved in using prepared foods, compared with the added cost, and that meals made from partly prepared products were nearly as acceptable as the homemade.

A pilot study conducted by the Agricultural Marketing Service on cost to consumers of convenience foods (5) revealed that of 52 foods studied, 28 were more expensive, 18 were less expensive, and 6 were the same price as the corresponding unserviced food. The foods investigated were meats, fish, fruits, vegetables, dairy products, and groceries. The average difference in cost per purchase unit of the convenience items as compared with the unserviced form was 0.7 cent.

Pancake or waffle mix and cake mix were the two mixes most frequently used in large cities of the United States in 1953 (8). In another study reported in 1957, from 36 to 86 percent of the families interviewed used cake, pudding, pancake, yeast roll, pastry, icing, cookie, muffin, and biscuit mixes at least occasionally (11).

The present research was conducted to ascertain the consumer quality, preparation time, yield, and proximate composition of frequently used baked products in different forms available on the retail market. Minerals were determined on selected foods. The study was made by the Human Nutrition Research Division, Agricultural Research Service, in cooperation with the Marketing Economic Division, Economic Research Service. That group provided the samples for the analyses and obtained information on retail food prices. Research on cost comparisons of these foods will be published by the Economic Research Service in a Marketing Research Report.

## TYPES OF FOODS INVESTIGATED

The homemade form and two brands each of most purchased partly prepared and already prepared forms of baked products were included in this study. The foods investigated were:

Cakes-angel food, devil's food, pound, and yellow.
Cookies-brownies and sugar cookies.
Pies-apple, cherry, and coconut.
Breads-biscuits, corn muffins, pancakes, waffles, and yeast rolls.
Frostings-chocolate (butter-cream) and white (?-minute).

The homemade baked products were made from household formulas taken from the files of the Human Nutrition Research Division. Ingredients
used in homemade products and in mixes are listed in tables 1 to 5 . The partly prepared foods were purchased in various forms-both chemically leavened and yeast-leavened refrigerated dough, frozen, brown-and-serve, and mix. Mixes, which require some preparation before baking or cooking, were classified into the following groups:

Type I-water to be added.
Type II-milk to be added.
Type III-more than one ingredient to be added; i.e., eggs and water or milk as in cake mixes.

Ready-to-serve "bakery" foods were purchased from grocery stores and included both nationally: advertised and regional brands. The dry mixes,
frozen items, and refrigerated doughs analyzed were nationally advertised brands, and were considered representative of items available in most sections of the United States.

The preparation data-time, sield, and quality measurements-are arerage values for duplicate samples in many instances; composition data are values for one purchase lot for a preparation form. No attempt was made to analyze enough samples of any one brand of a commercial form to obtain statistically significant average values. Rapid technological changes of factory-prepared foods, resulting in frequent changes in formulation of
these products, could quickly make obsolete any statistical differences obtained. Therefore, several brands of a preparation form and a fairly large number of preparation forms were studied, and the number of replications for any one brand or preparation form was limited. General differences among preparation forms (i.e., frozen and dry mix) and variations among brands were observed.

A total of 106 foods was investigated for preparation time and yield, 87 of which were evaluated by objective measurements. For proximate composition, 72 ready-to-serve, 21 ready-to-bake, and 62 dry-mix items were analyzed.

# LABORATORY PROCEDURES AND ANALYTICAL METHODS <br> <br> Preparation Time, Yield, and Quality Measurements 

 <br> <br> Preparation Time, Yield, and Quality Measurements}

## Preparation of Foods

All samples were prepared in a laboratory with temperature and relative humidity controls set at $74^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. and 60 percent, respectively. All weights were recorded in grams and converted to ounces.

Manufacturer's directions for preparation of commercial forms of foods were followed without deviation. Identical pans were used for baking the mix and homemade forms. Other commercial forms were purchased in the largest units available. Many forms, however, were arailable in only one market size.

Equal weights of dough or batter were used for the mix and homemade forms of corn muffins and yeast rolls. Equal volumes of batter for the different forms were used in baking pancakes and waffles. Batters were divided equally between two pans for homemade pound cakes and for twolayer cakes; in all other cases, the batter was poured into one baking pa1r. Baking powder biscuits were rolled between sheets of wax paper to $1 / 2$-inch thickness. Pie crusts were rolled to $3 / 32$-inch thickness because some pie doughs were too tender to handle when rolled to one-sixteenth inch.

## Preparation Time

Preparation time was recorded as active time and total time. Active time was defined as the
time for preparation which required constant attention of the laboratory worker, including time for assembling ingredients and equipment, but not for cleanup. Total time was active time plus the waiting time required for thawing, freezing, chilling, baking, or cooking of the foods. For comparability, the same laboratory worker prepared all similar foods.

## Yield

Total yield was determined by weight and by volume. Number and size of servings were calculated from the cooked weight and volume by using the weight and volume of a single serving of the homemade food as the reference. The size of servings for the various products was based on information in the literature. Some of the reference sizes of servings for this research were

| 8 | 1/14 sector. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 9 -inch cake | 1/16 sector. |
| $81 / 2$-inch loa | 1/2-inch slice. |
| S-inch pie | 1/6 sector. |
| 7 -inch waffl | 1. |
| 4-inch panc | 3. |

Pies were separated into crusts and fillings and each was weighed and measured. Frostings were removed from cakes before weights for yields were determined.

Table 1.-Cakes: Ingredients used in homemade formulas and ingredients added to mixes ${ }^{1}$

| Name and form of product | Formula |  |  | Name and form of product | Formula |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ingredients | Weight | Proportion of total weight of ingredients |  | Ingredients | Weight | Proportion of total weight of ingredi- ents |
| Angel food: Homemade | Egg whites Sugar <br> Flour (cake) Cream of tartar Salt Almond flavoring. <br> Total. - | Ounces ${ }^{2}$ <br> 13. 0 <br> 13. 1 <br> 5. 0 <br> 2 1 1 | Percent 41.3 41.6 15.9 .6 .3 .3 | Pound: <br> Homemade_ | Butter <br> Sugar <br> Eggs_ <br> Flour (cake) <br> Salt <br> Vanilla <br> Total | Ounces $^{2}$ 10.0 10.5 10.4 10.0 .05 .2 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { Percent } \\ 243 \\ 25.5 \\ 25.2 \\ 24.4 \\ <.1 \\ <.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
|  |  | 31.5 | 100. 0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mix (Type III): } \\ \text { Brand A------ } \end{gathered}$ |  | 41.2 | 100.0 |
| $\underset{\text { Brand A.-... }}{\underset{\text { Mix }}{ } \text { (Type I): }}$ | Mix <br> Water <br> Almond flavoring. | $\begin{array}{r} 16.0 \\ 9.9 \\ .9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.5 \\ 38.1 \\ .4 \end{array}$ |  | Mix <br> Eggs <br> Water $\qquad$ | 16. 3.9 | 69. 514.016.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | 24. 3 | 100.0 |
| Brand B------- |  | 26.0 | 100. 0 | Brand B----.-- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mix } \\ & \text { Eggs } \\ & \text { Milk } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.0 \\ 3.4 \\ 6.4 \end{array}$ | 64.812.223.0 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 16.0 \\ 9.9 \\ .9 \\ .1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.0 \\ 37.8 \\ .8 \\ .4 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | 27.8 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  | Yellow: <br> Homemade | Butter <br> Fat |  |  |
| Devil's food: Homemade | Fat.------------ | 26. 2 | 100.0 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \\ & 1.1 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 5. 04.227.013.1 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4.0 \\ 10.5 \\ 3.4 \\ 6.7 \\ .2 \end{array}$ | 10. 8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mix (Type III) : } \\ \text { Brand A }------ \end{gathered}$ | Eggs <br> Flour (cake) <br> Baking powder (SAS/P). <br> Milk <br> Salt <br> Vanilla | 3. ${ }_{\text {3. }} 7$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.1.1. |
|  | Sugar-.-------------- |  | $\begin{array}{r} 28.5 \\ 9.2 \\ 18.2 \\ .5 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flour (cake)---- |  |  |  |  | 5. 8 | 22.4 |
|  | Baking powder (SAS/P). |  |  |  |  | 5.8 .1 .1 | 2.4 .4 4 |
|  | Soda <br> Salt | $\begin{array}{r} .1 \\ 3 \\ 3.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .3 \\ .3 \\ 8.1 \end{array}$ |  | Total | 25.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Chocolate (un-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | sweetened). <br> Buttermilk <br> Vanilla | $\begin{array}{r} 8.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 23.6 .5 |  | Mix. Eggs | 18.0 3.4 | 56.6 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Mix (Type III): } \\ \text { Brand A...... } \end{gathered}$ | Total---- | 36. 9 | 100.0 | Brand B.----- | Total <br> Mix <br> Eggs <br> Water <br> Total |  |  |
|  | Mix <br> Eggs <br> Water |  |  |  |  | 31.8 | 100.0 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 18.9 \\ 3.4 \\ 9.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58.7 \\ & \text { 10. } 6 \\ & \text { 30. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 19. 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.4 \\ & 8.0 \end{aligned}$ | 11.2 2 |
| Brand B...-.-- | Total. | 32.2 | 100.0 |  |  | 30.4 | 100. 0 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mix-------------- } \\ & \text { Eggg_---- } \\ & \text { Water } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.0 \\ 3.4 \\ 8.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63.7 \\ & 10.8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total-.--- 31.4 |  | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |

[^1]Table 2.-Cookies: Ingredients used in homemade formulas and ingredients added to mixes ${ }^{1}$

${ }_{2}^{1}$ Type I mix requires water, Type II mix requires milk, and Type III mix requires eggs and other ingredients.
2 Weight was recorded in grams and converted to ounces.

Table 3.-Pies: Ingredients used in homemade formulas and ingredients added to mixes ${ }^{1}$


See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3.-Pies: Ingredients used in homemade formulas and ingredients added to mixes ${ }^{1}$-Continued


[^2]2 W eight was recorded in grams and converted to ounces.

Table 4.-Breads: Ingredients used in homemade formulas and ingredients added to mixes ${ }^{1}$


See footnotes at end of table.

Table 4.-Breads: Ingredients used in homemade formulas and ingredients added to mixes ${ }^{1}$ Continued

| Name and form of product | Formula |  |  | Name and form of product | Formula |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ingredients | Weight | Proportion of total weight of ingredients |  | Ingredients | Weight | Propor- <br> tion of total weight of ingredients |
| Waffles-Continued Mix-Continued Brand D | Mix <br> Eggs <br> Milk <br> Corn oil <br> Total | Ounces ${ }^{2}$ <br> 4. 4 <br> 1. 7 <br> 8. 7 <br> 1. 5 | Percent27.010.453.49.2 | Yeast rolls-Con. Homemade-Con. | Eggs <br> Flour <br> Total | Ounces ${ }^{2}$ $20.0$ | Percent <br> 4. 7 <br> 54. 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 36. 4 | 100. 0 |
|  |  | 16. 3 | 100. 0 | Mix (Type I): <br> Brand A.-... | Mix |  |  |
| Yeast rolls: <br> Homemade. | Dry yeast. <br> Water <br> Sugar <br> Fat <br> Salt <br> Milk | $\begin{array}{r} .3 \\ \text { 2. } 0 \\ \text { 1. } 8 \\ \text { 1. } 7 \\ .2 \\ \text { 8. } 7 \end{array}$ |  |  | Water | 8. | 36. 0 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} .8 \\ 5.5 \\ \text { 4. } 9 \\ 4.7 \\ .66 \\ 23.9 \end{array}$ |  | Total | 22. 2 | 100. 0 |
|  |  |  |  | Brand B |  | 14.2 | 64. 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Water | 8. 0 | 36. 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | 22. 2 | 100. 0 |

${ }^{1}$ Type I mix requires water, Type II mix requires milk, and Type III mix requires eggs and other ingredients.
2 Weight was recorded in grams and converted to ounces.
Table. 5.-Frostings: Ingredients used in homemade formulas and ingredients added to mixes ${ }^{1}$


[^3]${ }^{2}$ Weight was recorded in grams and converted to ounces.

## Quality Evaluation

As an objective method for determining tenderness of angel food cake, devil's food cake, pound cake, yellow cake, corn muffins, and yeast rolls, compressibility was measured by the Precision penetrometer equipped with the flat disk and a number of weights. In order to standardize procedures, compressibility measurements were taken on cakes 18 to 20 hours after baking and on corn muffins and yeast rolls 3 to 4 hours after baking. Depth of penetration in 5 seconds was measured on six samples, each measuring $13 / 4 \times 13 / 4$
$\mathrm{x} 7 / 8$ inches, with penetrometer weights of 100 grams for angel food cake; 250 grams for devil's food, pound, and yellow cakes and yeast rolls; and 275 grams for corn muffins.

Shear force of biscuits, pancakes, waffles, cookies, and pie crusts was measured on the Warner-Bratzler shear machine (capacity 60 pounds force). Six readings were made on each sample, previously cut into $1 \frac{1}{4}-$ inch strips. Readings were taken on pancakes and waffles immediatcly after baking and on biscuits, cookies, and pic crusts after cooling to room temperature.

# Proximate Composition and Mineral Element Analyses 

## Foods Analyzed

Proximate composition was determined for all preparation forms of each food included in the preparation time and yield investigations. Frostings and fillings analyzed were those removed from frozen and bakery cakes and brownies, and two brands of chocolate frosting dry mix. In many instances, the analyses for proximate composition were made at a later date than were the preparation-time and rield-data determinations. In both, however, the same standardized procedures were followed, the same standard weights of ingredients were added, and the same staff prepared the samples. Composition of some products was obtained for the same brand two or more times over a period of several months to see if formulation changes were reflected by composition changes. For a number of mixes, two packages were purchased from the same lot, one for proximate analyses in the dry form and the other for analyses after preparation and baking. Ten ready-to-bake items were also purchased in duplicate and analyzed both before and after baking.

In addition to proximate composition, content of eight mineral elements was determined in nine cake and brownie mixes, primarily to indicate the amount of leavening agents present.

## Sample Preparation

Cakes and frostings, pie crusts and pie fillings, angel food-flour and angel food-egg white mixtures were separated before being analyzed. Dry mixes were stored in tightly covered glass jars and mixed by tumbling before being weighed Samples other than dry mixes were weighed and stored in sealed cans at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Before being weighed for analyses, the frozen samples were ground three times in a Hobart mill to pass a plate having holes of 5 mm . diameter.

## Moisture

Samples were weighed in shallow $60-\mathrm{mm}$. aluminum foil dishes and dried overnight at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. in a forced-air oven. Drying was continued to constant weight in a vacuum oven at $50^{\circ}\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$.

Loss in weiglit was recorded as the moisture content.

## Fat

Representative samples of each food were analyzed for fat both bethyl-ether extraction of residues from moisture determinations in a Soxhlet apparatus overnight and by the acid hydrolysis procedure of the AOAC (2). For coconut pie fillings, which are high in milk, hydrolysis was preceded by digestion with ammonium hydroxide, according to the Roese-Got tlieb method for fat in cheese (2). In only a few cases were differences between the two basic methods greater than the experimental error; for those few cases, fat data reported here are the higher values. For samples high in milk, for instance, RoeseGottlieb fat values are reported, while for samples containing nuts, Soxhlet values are used.

For all other samples, fat was determined by the Soxhlet extraction method.

## Protein

Total nitrogen was determined by the microkjeldabl method of the AOAC, modified by using 0.02 NHCl rather than boric acid in the distillation step, and by titrating with 0.02 N NaOH and the indicator methyl red. Factors for converting total nitrogen values to protein values were calculated from formulas and from yield data, by using the appropriate factors for individual foods established by Jones and reported by Merrill and Watt (10).

## Ash

Weighed samples, after overnight drying at $50^{\circ}$ C. in a forced-air oven, were ashed to constant weight at $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. in a muffle furmace.

## Food Energy

Calorie conversion factors were calculated in the same manner as the protein factors, by using the energy values established for individual food items (10).

## Composition of Individual Servings

Yield data (tables 7 through 11) show that standard servings of a product based on volume
frequently varied greatly in weight among the different forms investigated. Proximate values for ready-to-serve and ready-to-bake products, therefore, were calculated and reported not only for basic 100 -gram portions but also for individual servings, by using data on equal-volume serving sizes described under "total yield."

## Mineral Elements

Seven mineral elements-calcium, copper, iron, magnesium, manganese, phosphorus, and sodi-
um-were determined with the medium spectrograph; potassium was analyzed with the flame photometer. The analytical system used was essentially that of Hopkins et al. (6). For the spectrographic determinations, $10-$ to $15-\mathrm{mg}$. samples of the dry mixes were weighed directly into the electrodes, ashed, and arced. No preliminary concentrations of the samples were made before weighing. Mineral values reported here are arithmetic means for four determinations on each mix.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Preparation Time, Yield, and Quality Measurements

## Preparation Time

The preparation times of commercially processed and homemade foods as determined by the same laboratory worker are reported in graphic form (figs. 1 and 2).

Mixes for deril's food, pound, and yellow cakes saved from 67 to 80 percent of the active time of 30 to 41 minutes required for preparation of the corresponding homemade product and saved from 27 to 48 percent of the total time of 59 to 120 minutes. Mixes for angel food cakes sayed somewhat less active and total preparation time. Frozen cakes sared from 88 to 92 percent of the 40 to 41 minutes of active time, but little or no total time since time for thawing was involved. Thawing time, however, does not require close attention. Bakery cakes, of course, required no time for preparation.

Cookies made from mixes sometimes required longer active and total time than did the homemade cookies. The cookie dough made from one brand of mix was sticky and difficult to handle. Total preparation time of cookies, pancakes, and waffles sometimes depends on the quantity prepared at one time since baking is done in lots. When total preparation time included only the time for baking of one cooking lot, preparation times differed considerably less among the forms tested. Preparation time of waffles, for example, required 16 minutes for the homemade form; 7, 9, and 10 minutes for Types I, II, and III mixes, respectively; and 2 and 15 minutes for the two brands of frozen waffles.

Frozen pies saved 96 percent of the active time, and pies made from mixes saved 44 percent of the 41 to 57 minutes required for preparation of the


[^4]Figcre 1.-Preparation times, including active and total time, for angel food, devil's food, and yellow cakes, and pies.


Figere 2.-Preparation times, including active and total time, for baking powder biscuits, pancakes, waffles, and yeast rolls.
homemade pies. Both kinds of partly prepared pies afforded a saving of about 30 percent in total preparation time.

Biscuits made from mixes saved 54 percent of the 24 minutes of active time and 39 percent of the 36 minutes of total time required for preparation of the homemade form. The other commercial forms of biscuits saved more than 90 percent of the active time and more than 70 percent of the total preparation time. Corn muffin mixes saved less than 25 percent of the active or total time.

Partly prepared yeast rolls of the mix, frozen, and brown-and-serve forms saved 67,95 , and 96 percent, respectively, of the 51 minutes of active preparation time required for the homemade product. Brown-and-serve rolls saved 95 percent of the total preparation time, and mix and frozen rolls saved less than 25 percent of the 3 hours and 7 minutes required for preparing the homemade product.

Overall preparation tines for brownies and frostings made from mixes differed little from those required for preparation of the homemade forms.

## Yield

Homemakers, institution managers, and many ot hers responsible for serving food ordinarily por-
tion the size of servings for baked products according to a specified volume rather than to a specified weight. Cost analysts and some marketing specialists, however, compute cost per serving on a weight basis.

The reference sizes of servings for all forms redative to volume and to weight are given in table 6. The total yield and number of servings of baked products are given in tables 7 to 11 . The homemade forms usually yielded more servings, both on volume and on weight bases, than did most of the commercial forms prepared. This was to be expected because the formulas for most homemade foods, unlike the purchase unit sizes of many ready-to-serve foods, yield more than just enough servings for one meal. Servings of pies based on weight varied considerably, partially because the ratios of fillings to crusts varied.

Specific volumes (cubic centimeters per gram) of the baked cakes are given graphically in figure 3. Specific volumes were, for most kinds of cake, highest for homemade and mix forms and lowest for bakery forms. The various forms of pound cake, however, differed little in specific volumes.


Figtre 3.-Specific volumes of baked cakes of different market forms.

Table 6.- Baked products: Reference size of individual servings relative to volume and weight of the homemade product

| Name of product | Size of serving for all forms |  | Name of product | Size of serving for all forms- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{By} \\ \text { volume } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { weight }}{\text { By }}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { By } \\ \text { volume } \end{gathered}$ | By weight |
| Cakes: | Cubic inches | Ounces | Pies-Continued | Cubic inches | Ounces |
| Angel food Devil's food | 16. 0 | 1. 7 |  | 7. 0 |  |
| Pound.-.-- | 5. 2 | 1.1 | Breads: |  |  |
| Yellow | 11.5 | 1. 2 | Baking powder biscuits | 6. 3 | 1. 4 |
|  |  |  | Corn muffin | 4. 7 | 1. 5 |
| Cookies: Brownies |  |  | Pancakes | 8. 3 | 5. 3 |
| Brownies-------------- | 2. 4 | . 7 | Waffles | 19.2 | 3. 3 |
| Sugar (refrigerated-type) | 1. 3 | . 5 | Yeast rolls | 5. 5 | 1. 3 |
| Pies: |  |  | Frostings ${ }^{\text {. }}$ |  |  |
| Apple | 7. 0 | 4. 7 | Chocolate (butter-cream) | ${ }^{1} 1.5$ cups | 14. 8 |
| Cherry | 7. 0 | 4. 5 | White (7-minute) - | 12.7 cups | 8. 9 |

[^5]Table 7.-Cakes: Yield and number of servings of homemade, partly prepared, and ready-to-serve forms


[^6]Table S.-Cookies: Yield and number of servings of homemade, partly prepared, and ready-to-serve forms

${ }^{1}$ Preparation date was 1 to 3 days after purchase, except for bakery form for which the month of purchase is given.
${ }^{2}$ Volume and weight of individual serving of homemade product was used as reference. (See table 6.)
${ }^{3}$ Weight was recorded in grams and converted to ounces.
${ }^{4}$ Weight without frosting.
${ }^{5}$ Proximate composition was determined on same sample. (See table 12.)

Table 9.-Pies: Yield and number of servings of homemade, partly prepared, and ready-to-serve forms


See footnotrs at end of table.

Table 9.-Pies: Yield and number of servings of homemade, partly prepared, and ready-to-serve
forms-Continued

${ }_{2}^{1}$ Preparation date was 1 to 3 days after purchase, except for bakery form for which the month of purchase is given.
${ }_{3}^{2}$ Volume and weight of individual serving of homemade product was used as reference. (See table 6.)
${ }^{3}$ Weight was recorded in grams and converted to ounces.

- Proximate composition was determined on same sample. (See table 12.)
${ }^{5}$ Weight without meringue.

Table 10.-Breads: Yield and number of servings of homemade, partly prepared, and ready-to-serve forms


See footnotes at end of table.

Table 10.-Breads: Yield and number of servings of homemade, partly prepared, and ready-to-serce forms-Continued

| Name and form of product | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Preparation } \\ & \text { month } \\ & (1959)^{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Purchase } \\ & \text { unit } \end{aligned}$ | Total yield |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Measure | Baked weight | Servings ${ }^{2}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{By} \\ \text { volume } \end{gathered}$ | By weight |
| Yeast rolls-Con.Frozen:Brand A.....Brand B.... | August. | Ounces ${ }^{3}$$\begin{aligned} & 12.8 \\ & 19.9 \end{aligned}$ | 12.0 rolls18.0 rolls | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ounces }^{3} \\ 11.6 \\ 19.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Number } \\ 12.0 \\ 18.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & 8.9 \\ & 14.9 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brown-and-serve: Brand A | May August (4) September | $\begin{array}{r} 12.5 \\ 8.8 \\ 12.0 \\ 8.6 \end{array}$ | 12.0 rolls 6.0 rolls 12.0 rolls 12.0 rolls | $\begin{array}{r} 12.2 \\ 8.5 \\ 11.6 \\ 8.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.0 \\ 6.0 \\ 12.0 \\ 12.0 \end{array}$ | 9.4 <br> 6.5 <br> 8.9 <br> 6.4 |
| Brand $\mathrm{B}^{-6-}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brand C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bakery ${ }^{6}$ - |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^7]Table 11.-Frostings: Yield and number of servings of homemade and partly prepared forms ${ }^{1}$

| Name and form of product | Purchase unit | Total yield |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Measure | Cooked weight | Servings ${ }^{2}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{By} \\ \text { volume } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { By } \\ \text { weight } \end{gathered}$ |
| Frosting (chocolate): Homemade_ Mix (Type I): Brand ABrand BBrand C | Ounces ${ }^{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cups } \\ 1.0 \end{gathered}$ | Ounces ${ }^{3}$ $\text { 9. } 9$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ 0 . \bar{i} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ 0.7 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 5.51.13.13 | $\begin{aligned} & .7 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 1.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.0 \\ 16.4 \\ 16.8 \end{array}$ | a1.51.01.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1. 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1. 1 |
| Frosting (white): Homemade |  | 4. 0 | 13. 3 | 1. 5 | 1.5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Homemade } \\ & \text { Mix (Type } \\ & \text { I): } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brand A - | 6. 5 | 2.7 | 9.4 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Brand B. | 6. 5 | 2. 7 | 8. 8 | 1. 0 | 1. 0 |

${ }^{1}$ Preparation date was 1 to 3 days after purchase in May 1959.
${ }^{2}$ Amount needed to cover two 8- or 9-inch layers. Mix type was used as standard. (See table 6.)
${ }^{3}$ Weight was recorded in grams and converted to ounces.
Frozen, mix, or homemade two-crust pies, when baked, usually had from 35 to 45 percent crust on the basis of total weight of the pies (tables 9 and 12). Some bakery two-crust pies had from 53 to 88 percent crust. Coconut pie, a single crust
type, usually had from 15 to 30 percent crust One brand of bakery coconut pie, however, had as much as 47 percent crust.

## Quality Characteristics

Compressibility readings of baked products prepared from mixes were often higher, an indication of greater softness of crumb, than readings on the homemade baked products (fig. 4). Manufacturers of mixes use low-protein flour fractions and emulsifiers in devil's food and yellow cake mixes and wheat starch in angel food cake mixes to insure softness in the baked cake crumb (4). However, yellow cakes made from either of two brands of mix and devil's food cake made from one brand of mix were less than half as compressible as the corresponding homemade cake. One brand of angel food mix and not the other, when baked, gave somewhat lower compressibility readings than the homemade form. Frozen and homemade devil's food cakes were equally compressible. Bakery cakes were usually more firm than any of the other forms of cakes tested.

Compressibility readings within a kind of cake -i.e., angel food, yellow-were highest for the forms that were highest in specific volume and often were lowest for cakes lowest in specific volume (figs. 3 and 4).

Pound cake, which is usually rather firm and compact in texture, had low compressibility readings (not shown). Homemade and frozen pound cakes were equally firm with readings of 2.0 mm . Pound cakes made from two brands of mixes differed, with readings of 4.2 and 1.6 mm ., respectively. The bakery pound cakes were most firm, giving readings of 2.0 and 1.5 mm .


Figtree 4.-Compressibility of four kinds of baked products.

Corn muffins, like pound cake, are not usually considered very compressible. The two brands of corn muffin mix, when baked, were equal in compressibility (readings 3.1 mm .) and were more compressible than the homemade corn muffins (reading 1.8 mm .).

Yeast rolls prepared from two brands of mix or baked from two brands of frozen rolls exceeded homemade yeast rolls in compressibility. Bakery rolls were equal in compressibility to, and the brown-and-serve rolls were about one-third as compressible as, homemade rolls.

Shear-force readings on Type II mix sugar cookies and on bakery cookies were approximately 50 and 100 percent higher (less tender) than readings on homemade sugar cookies (fig. 5). Low shear readings on sugar cookies made from dough chilled in a roll or from Type III mix indicated they were more tender than homemade cookies.

Homemade and frozen baking powder biscuits were about equal in tenderness and were most tender of the forms investigated. The other types of biscuits in order of increasing shear force (decreasing tenderness) were biscuits made from Type II mixes: biscuits made from partially yeast-learened refrigerated dough; and biscuits made from chemically leavened refrigerated dough.

Pancakes and waffles made from mixes were somewhat more tender than the corresponding homemade products. The frozen form of pancakes or waffles was consistently less tender than any other form investigated.

Crusts of bakery pies were consistently less tender than crusts of the corresponding homemade or partly prepared pies (shear data not shown).


Figure 5.- Shear-force readings of four kinds of baked products.

## Proximate Composition and Mineral Element Content

Comparisons of Composition of the Different Foods Studied

The ready-to-serve foods in this study were of high ealoric value (table 12), and within cach food group, caloric values usually followed fat content. For all food items, except pie fillings, energy values per 100 -gram portion were 200 Calorics or more, reaching a maximum of 600 Calories per 100 grams of baked unfilled pie shell. Energy values for cakes and cookies were higher
per 100 grams than those for pies, with unbuttered breads intermediate in value.

Individual serving sizes ranged in weight from around 1.5 grams for a serving of sugar cookies 10 more than 140 grams for a serving of coconut pic. For this reason, ranking of foods by caloric content per serving differed from ranking by energy value of 100 -gram portions. For indtividhal servings, calorie values were highest in pies and pancakes and lowest in sugar cookies and yeast rolls.
'T'abs: 12.-I'roximate composition of ready-to-serve baled products prepared from different market forms

| Item number | 1)escription of sample mid dater received | 100-gramin portion |  |  |  |  |  | Individuad surving by volume: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MoisGire | $\begin{aligned} & \text { liood } \\ & \text { energy } \end{aligned}$ | Fit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { l'ro- } \\ & \text { tuin } \end{aligned}$ | Ash | Carbohydrate (by differenee) | Wright | Moistur' | $\begin{aligned} & \text { liood } \\ & \text { encrgy } \end{aligned}$ | Fat | 1'rotein | Ash | Carbor hydrate (by difference) |
|  | CAKES ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ANGFI, FOOD ('AKE: | Grams | Calories | Grams | Grams | Grams | Grams | Grams | Grams | Catories | Grams | Grams | Grams | Cirams |
| 1 | Homemade (9/60) | 30. 8 | 272 | 0. 2 | 6. 1 | 0.7 | 62.2 | 49 | 15. 1 | 133 | 0.1 | 3. 0 | 0. 3 | 30. 5 |
| 2 | Mix: Type 1, Brand A (9/60) | 34.0 | 259 | . 2 | 5. 7 | 7 | 59.4 | 40 | 13.6 | 104 | 1 | 2. 3 | . 3 | 23. 7 |
| 3 | Braid 4 (9/59) | 29.9 | 271 | 0 | 6. 0 | 1. 7 | 62.4 | 62 | 18.5 | 168 | . 0 | 3. 7 | 1.1 | 38.7 |
| 4 | Brand B (9/59) | 30. 7 | 269 | . 0 | 6. 4 | 1. 4 | 61.5 | 88 | 270 | $2: 37$ | 0 | 5. 6 | 1. 2 | 54.2 |
| 5 | Devil's rood cake: liomemade ( $3 / 60$ ) | 25.6 | 355 | 15.6 | 5. 1 | 1. 2 | 52. 5 | 49 | 12. 5 | 174 | 7. 6 | 2. 5 |  | 25. 8 |
|  | Mix: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 6 | 25. 8 |
| 6 | Type III, 13rand A (3/60) | 32.6 | 267 | 4. 3 | 4. 2 | 1. 6 | 573 | 4.2 | 14. 0 | 115 | 1. 8 | 1. 8 | 7 | 24. 7 |
| 7 | Type III, Brand $13(8 / 59)^{3}$ | 27. 4 | 325 | 11. 7 | 4. 8 | 1. 6 | 54.5 | 81 | 22. 2 | 26.3 | 9.5 | 3.9 | 1. 3 | 41.1 |
| 8 | Frozen: Brand A $(9 / 59)$ | 29.5 | 328 | 13.7 | 5. 0 | 1. 6 | 5i) 2 | 49 | 14. 5 | 161 | 6. 7 | 2. 5 | 8 |  |
| 9 | $13 \mathrm{rand} 13(8 / 59)^{3}$ | 24. 7 | 368 | 18.0 | 5. 2 | 1. 8 | 50. 3 | 70 | 17.3 | 258 | 12. 6 | 3. 6 | 1. 3 | 35. 2 |
|  | Bakery: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | l3rand A (8/59) | 21. 6 | 3.11 | 9. 9 | 4. 7 | 1. 7 | 62. 1 | 55) | 11.9 | 188 | 5. 4 | 2. 6 | 9 | 3.1. 2 |
| 11 | 13rand C (9/59) | 27. 3 | 324 | 11.8 | 5. 0 | 1. 9 | 54.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pound cake: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Homemade (9/60) | 21. 3 | 419 | 22. 2 | 6. 5 | . 8 | 49. 2 | 31 | 6. 6 | 130 | 6. 9 | 2. 0 | 2 | 15. 3 |
| 13 | Mix: Type III, Brand 13 (9/60) | 25. 8 | 368 | 15. 7 | 5. 0 | 1. 3 | 52.2 | 34 | 8. 8 | 125 | 5. 3 | 1. 7 | 4 | 17. 8 |
| 14 | F'rozel (8/59) _- .-. | 21. 4 | 418 | 22. 5 | 4.9 | 1. 3 | 49.9 | 33 | 7. 1 | 138 | 7. 4 | 1. 6 | . 4 | 16.5 |
|  | Bakery: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 16 | 13rand A (9/59) | 20. 1 | 413 | 20. 1 | 4. 5 | 1. 0 | 54. 3 | 29 37 | 5. 8 | 120 139 | 5. 8 5 5 | 1. 1.8 | .3 .5 | 15.8 |
| 16 | Brand $\mathrm{B}(8 / 59)^{3}$ | 22. 4 | 376 | 1.4. 6 | 4. 9 | 1. 4 | 56.7 | 37 | 8. 3 | 139 | 5. 4 | 1. 8 | . 5 | 21.0 |
|  | Yellow cake: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Homemade (9/60) | 18. 7 | 376 | 12.0 | 6.3 | 1. 8 | 61. 2 | 35 | 6. 5 | 132 | 4. 2 | 2. 2 | 6 | 21.5 |
| 18 | Mix: Type I11, Brand A (9/60) | 30.5 | 317 | 9. 8 | 4. 6 | 1. 5 | 53. 6 | 4.3 | 13. 1 | 136 | 4. 2 | 2. 0 | . 6 | 23. 1 |
| 19 | Bakery (9/59) . . . . . | 25. 6 | 360 | 14.7 | 4. 5 | 2. 0 | 53.2 | 68 | 17.4 | 245 | 10. 0 | 3.1 | 1.4 | 36. 1 |
|  | COOKIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Brownies: ${ }^{4} 4$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Homemade (9/60) | 9.8 | 497 | 33. 4 | 6. 6 | 1. 3 | 48. 9 | 21 | 2. 1 | 104 | 7. 0 | 1.4 | 3 | 10. 2 |
| 21 | Mix: ${ }_{\text {Type I ( } 9 / 60 \text { ) }}$ | 15.3 | 403 |  | 4. 8 | 1. 3 |  | 19 | 2. 9 | 77 | 3. 6 |  |  |  |
| 22 | Type III, Brand 13 (9/60) | 10. 7 | 426 | 20. 1 | 5. 0 | 1. 1 | 63.1 | 25 | 2. 7 | 106 | 5. 0 | 1. 2 | 3 | 15.8 |
| 23 | Frozen (9/59) . . . . | 13. 1 | 422 | 21.5 | 5. 7 | 1. 3 | 58. 4 | 23 | 3. 0 | 97 | 4.9 | 1. 3 | 3 | 13. 5 |
| 24 | Bakery (8/59) ${ }^{5}$ | 12. 5 | 432 | 22. 1 | 5. 8 | 1. 2 | 58.4 | 2.4 | 3. 0 | 10.4 | 5. 3 | 1. 4 | 3 | 14. 0 |

Table 12.-Proximate composition of ready-to-serve baked products prepared from different market forms-Continued

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Item } \\ \text { number } \end{gathered}$ | Description of sample and date received | 100-gram portion |  |  |  |  |  | Individual serving by volume ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Moisture | $\begin{gathered} \text { Food } \\ \text { energy } \end{gathered}$ | Fat | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro- } \\ & \text { teiill } \end{aligned}$ | Ash | Carbohydrate (by dif- ference) | Weight | Mois- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Food } \\ & \text { energy } \end{aligned}$ | Fat | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro- } \\ & \text { tein } \end{aligned}$ | Ash | Carbohydrate ference) |
| 25 | COOKIES - Continued | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grams } \\ 4.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calories } \\ 470 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grams } \\ 19.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grams } \\ 5.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} G r a m s \\ 1.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grams } \\ 69.0 \end{gathered}$ | Grams ${ }^{\text {G }}$ | $\begin{gathered} G r a m s \\ 0.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calories } \\ 70 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grams } \\ 2.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Grams } \\ 0.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grams } \\ 0.2 \end{gathered}$ | Grams 10. 4 |
|  | Sugar cookies: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | Type I (9/60) | 3. 0 | 492 | 22.8 | 3. 9 | 1.0 | 69.3 | 31 | . 9 | 153 | 7. 1 | 1. 2 | . 3 | 21. 5 |
| 28 | Type III (1/61) | 4. 3 | 483 | 21.5 | 6. 0 | 8 | 67.4 | 8 | . 3 | 39 | 1. 7 |  | 1 |  |
|  | Chilled in roll (9/60) | 3.4 | 503 | 25. 6 | 4. 7 | 1. 9 | 64.4 | 10 | . 3 | 50 | 2. 6 | 5 | . 2 | 6. |
| 2930 | Bakery: Brand A (9/59) | 5. 4 | 46.4441 | 18.3 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4. } 8 \\ & \text { 4. } 3 \end{aligned}$ | 1. ${ }^{7}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70.8 \\ & 75.5 \end{aligned}$ | 912 | .5.6 | 4253 | $\text { 1. } 6$ | 45 | .1.1 | 6.49.2 |
|  | Brand B (9/59) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | APPle: PIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Homemade (9/60) : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Crust. | 28. 0 | 379 | 18. 5 | 4. 8 | 1. 1 | 47. 6 | 51 | 14.3 | 1193 |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {Filling, }}^{\text {Crust, }} 38 \%$, and filling, $62 \%$ | 65. ${ }^{6} 1$ | 136 229 | 1. 7.7 | 2. 2 | .3 .6 | 33. 38 38 | 83 134 | 54.0 68.3 | 113 306 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 10. } \\ \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2. 8 | 2 8 | 27.5 51.8 |
| 32 | Mix: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23. } 6 \\ & 60.9 \\ & 46.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 411 \\ & 147 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.7 \\ 8.3 \\ 8.3 \end{array}$ | 5.0-4 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.3 \\ .7 \\ .9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 48. } 4 \\ & \text { 37. } 9 \\ & 42.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ 82 \\ 132 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11. } 8 \\ & 49.9 \\ & 61.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 206 \\ & 121 \\ & 327 \end{aligned}$ | 10.8.110.9 | 2.52. 82 | $\begin{array}{r}.6 \\ \text { 1. } \\ \text { 1. } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 24. 331. 155. 4 |
|  | Crust, Type I, Brand A (8/59) ${ }^{6}-$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Filling, dried apples, Brand AA Crust, a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | Crust, Type I, Brand C (8/59) ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.2 \\ & 68.6 \\ & 51.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 395 \\ & 117 \\ & 228 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.4 \\ 7.8 \\ 7.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.9 \\ .3 \\ 2.1 \end{array}$ | 1.1.2.6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 49. } 4 \\ & \text { 30. } 9 \\ & 38.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66 \\ 98 \\ 164 \end{array}$ | 16. 6 <br> 67. 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 261 \\ & 115 \\ & 376 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \\ & .3 \\ & 3.5 \end{aligned}$ | 1..7.9.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 32.7 \\ & 30.3 \\ & 63.0 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Filling, canned, Brand CC -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Crust, $40 \%$, and filling, $60 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | Frozen, Crust | $\begin{aligned} & 26.0 \\ & 70.7 \\ & 52.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 408 \\ & 110 \\ & 229 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.1 \\ \cdot: 1 \\ 9.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.9 \\ & .2 \\ & 1.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.0 \\ .4 \\ .6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46.0 \\ & 28.6 \\ & 35.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43 \\ 65 \\ 108 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11.2 } 2 \\ & \text { 46. } 0 \\ & 57.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 175 \\ 72 \\ 247 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.9 \\ 10.1 \\ 10.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.7 \\ \text { 1. } 8 \end{array}$ | .4.3.7 | 19.818.538. 3 |
|  | Filling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Crust, $40 \%$, and filling, $60 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | Bakery:Brand A $(8 / 59)$ :Crust......--Filling,Crust, $49 \%$, and filling, $51 \%$-- | $\begin{aligned} & 23.8 \\ & 53.4 \\ & 38.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 414 \\ & 175 \\ & 292 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.9 \\ 11.3 \end{array}$ | 3.9.22.0 | 1. 41. 01. 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 48. } 0 \\ & \text { 45. } 3 \\ & \text { 46. } 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56 \\ 58 \\ 114 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { 13. } 3 \\ 31.0 \\ 44.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 232 \\ & 102 \\ & 334 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.8 \\ 12.1 \\ 12.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2. } 2 \\ & \text { 2. } 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .8 \\ .6 \\ 1.4 \end{array}$ | 26.926.253.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | Brand B (9/59): ${ }^{6}$ <br> Crust Filling Crust, $42 \%$, and filling, $58 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.6 \\ & 65.9 \\ & 49.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 404 \\ & 128 \\ & 244 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.3 \\ .1 \\ 9.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 4. } 4 \\ \text { 2. } 2 \\ \text { 2. } \end{array}$ | .9.5.7 | 43. 833.337.7 | 6084144 | $\begin{aligned} & 16.6 \\ & 55.4 \\ & 72.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 242 \\ & 108 \\ & 350 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.0 \\ 14.1 \end{array}$ | 2. 62.2. 8 | .5.4.9 | 26.327.954.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| $x-N$ |  | $\infty 2 \div$ － | $\varepsilon \approx \infty$ | 上, - | $\begin{gathered} \approx ー \square \\ \sim-\sim \end{gathered}$ | - - - | $19 \infty \infty$ $\qquad$ | TNE $\qquad$ |  | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & x x= \\ & \text { N } \therefore \end{aligned}$ | $* x=$ N | $0 \infty$ oj | $\begin{aligned} & e+0 \\ & \text { i } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { vi } \infty$ | 01010 <br> ーボレல | $\begin{aligned} & 10+\infty \\ & -i 20 \text { © } \end{aligned}$ | CNO かis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & -10 N \end{aligned}$ | $-60$ <br> $\sin \infty^{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7 \therefore N \\ & 2 \div-0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & -N= \\ & 10 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & --10 \\ & \text { oi } \end{aligned}$ | $0=\infty$ $O N+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty-\infty \\ & \nabla 1 \div 0 \end{aligned}$ | $-100$ <br> ペシ | $\begin{aligned} & =-0 \\ & 1 \sim \infty \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ | 2020 $\dot{\sim}-\infty$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0 \% \\ & \bullet \sim \infty \end{aligned}$ | 15 |
|  | $\frac{N}{N} \underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \infty \\ 0 \\ \hline 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\sim}{-10}$ |  | $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | 心N8 | $\frac{0}{0}$ |


| $\underset{i}{S}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & -N x \\ & =-5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 2 \div 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N=0 \\ & 0 \sim \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N G:- \\ & \therefore \dot{1}+1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { senr } \\ & \therefore \dot{\infty} \dot{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $0 \infty$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1-\infty \\ & \therefore \underset{G}{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $08$ | $\odot$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bar{x} \infty$ | $\infty \propto \propto$ | $\omega_{i}^{\infty} \underset{=}{\infty}$ | $0_{0}^{\infty}=$ | QO | $\underset{\sim}{6} 9$ | ○もな | $\underset{\sim}{\infty} \subseteq$ | $\underset{\sim}{\omega}$ | － |


| $$ | Eix | $\begin{aligned} & -\boxed{\infty} \\ & \dot{心} \dot{0} \div \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & N=\infty \\ & \text { i } \underset{\sim}{\infty} \infty \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & n \infty \infty \\ & \dot{\theta} \dot{\sim} \stackrel{i}{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1-0.20 \\ & \text { Fin M } \\ & \text { Hi } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\therefore \text { に }$ | $\begin{aligned} & =2 \infty \\ & -1 . \end{aligned}$ | $\because 0$ | 020 O | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Foー } \\ & \text { i-i } \end{aligned}$ | $\infty<-$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \omega+\kappa \\ & \sim-i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty-1 \\ & -i= \end{aligned}$ | woo |
| $\begin{aligned} & =-\infty \\ & --\infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \because \sigma \\ & \div \quad \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \mathrm{~N}= \\ & \therefore \\ & \therefore \end{aligned}$ |  | $\therefore \omega \infty$ <br>  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ©. } 2 \infty \\ & \text { a }+\rightarrow+i \end{aligned}$ | s－r 1200 | － 2018 $15^{\circ} 10^{\circ} 20^{\circ}$ | लcos <br>  |
| $\begin{aligned} & =\infty 0 \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ}-i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -\infty \\ & \underset{\mathrm{N}}{-0} \cdot \infty \\ & \underset{\mathrm{~N}}{ } \cdot \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ONO } \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-O } \\ & \text { N. } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty<\infty \\ & \sin \pi \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OON } \\ & \text { WH゙N } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{N}{200}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \infty= \\ & \infty \infty= \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty+N \\ & \underset{N}{\infty}-i 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \infty \mathrm{~N} \\ & \infty=120 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{c} \\ & \underset{\sim}{c} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | No ec | $\begin{aligned} & 158 \\ & 10.10 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{N}{20}$ | $\infty_{\sim}^{\infty} \infty$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \hdashline-\infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & =\infty N \\ & \text { i } E=0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\infty}$ |  | Gis | $\pm 10 \infty$ | $\begin{aligned} & +N 0 \\ & \therefore \pm 15 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty-\infty \\ & \infty \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { wol } \\ & \text { oivi } \end{aligned}$ | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ | O | F | $\stackrel{\mathrm{C}}{7}$ | $\%$ | $\pm$ | 12 | $\div$ | $\stackrel{\square}{7}$ |

Table 12.-Proximate composition of ready-to-serve baked products prepared from different market forms-Continued


Fat content ranged from almost none in angel food cakes to more than 20 percent in cookies and 40 percent in unfilled baked pie shell. Except for pie fillings, about half of the composition by weight of the food, as served, was carbohydrate. Protein ralues were less than 10 percent by weight for all foods analyzed.

## Comparison of Composition of Different Market Forms

In order to compare the different commercial forms, each nutrient value for every commercial form was calculated as the percentage of the corresponding value for the homemade form, which was assigned a reference value of 100 percent. These percentages were then summarized both by food group-cake, pie, etc.-and by commercial form-mix, frozen (figs. 6 and 7).

Moisture content was usually higher and ash markedly higher, in the commercial forms than in the corresponding homemade forms of the rarious products studied (fig. 6). Protein and fat content
were nearly always lower in commercial than in homemade foods. Carbohydrate content, for most forms and products studied, was about the same in commercial as in homemade items. Some exceptions to the general trends were noted (table 12). For example, variations in fat content and caloric ralues for devil's food or pound cakes were as great between brands of the same market form as among the different forms studied. Within a food group, individual components frequently showed interesting rariations. Thus, fat in baking powder biscuits increased in ahmost stepwise fashion, from commercial refrigerated dough through mix and frozen to the homenade form. Cakes and brownies made from mixes were higher in moisture than were other commercial forms. These mixes contained emulsifiers, emulsified shortening, or wheat starch, ingredients which probably contributed to the greater moistureretaining capacity of the mixes. Except for refrigerated dough and brown-and-serve products,


Figure 6.-Proximate composition and caloric values of different baked products-all commercial forms combined and expressed as percentages of homemade forms.


Figure 7.-Proximate composition and caloric valucs of different market forms of baked products, expressed as percentages of values for homemade products.
the various commercial forms were similar to each other in patterns of relationships to homemade foods (fig. 7).

Homemade brownies, which were made with chocolate, contained more fat and less moisture than commercial forms of brownies, which were made with cocoa. The high fat content of the homemade form was due in part to the high proportion of added pecans (table 2) as well as the use of chocolate in the formulation. The calculated fat content of the homemade brownies, excluding fat contributed by nuts and chocolate, would be approximately 19 percent. This level is in good agreement with fat in commercial forms that included nuts and cocoa. The homemade form apparently contained more shortening than did commercial forms.

According to Lowe (9), volume of cake increases with addition of baking powder and sugar to optimum levels, and decreases with addition of fat and of egg. Volume may, therefore, be related directly to ash or inversely to fat content. However, no discernible relationships between specific volume (fig. 3) and fat, protein, or moisture content could be observed. Aslı values, contrary to prediction, tended to be highest in cakes having
the lowest specific volumes. Variations among brands and commercial forms in the kinds of fat and leavening agents used could conceivably cause these deviations from expected relationships.

## Composition of Dry Mixes and Finished Products Made From Mixes

Proximate composition data for 62 dry mixes representing all the food groups in the study, along with date of purchase, ingredient contents as given on labels, and ingredients to be added, are given in table 13. In addition, proximate composition data for 19 finished products corresponding to 16 of the mix items are included in table 12.

Listed ingredients used in angel food mixes were fairly constant, both among brands and over a period of time for the same brand. The proportion of flour mix to egg-white mix was also relatively uniform. Moisture, caloric, fat, and carbohydrate content varied little among the samples analyzed. Protein and ash levels of both flour and egg-white portions of the mixes varied most.

Two samples of one brand of devil's food mix, obtained and analyzed 6 montlis apart, had nearly identical proximate values. Fat content,
howerer, was less than half that of the other two brands of devil's food mix analyzed. The baked cake made from this brand of mix (item 6, table 12) was correspondingly low in fat.

Nine rellow and five white cake mixes, representing five manufacturers' brands, were analyzed. Listed ingredients in the white cakes differed from those in rellow mixes only in the absence of coloring. With few exceptions, composition values raried little between yellow and white cake of the same brand, among brands, or over a period of time for the same brand (table 13). While these data are from a limited number of samples, good
agreement in most composition values suggests that wider sampling at that time would not have appreciably altered conclusions reported here.

The fat and protein contents of the yellow and white cake mixes are compared graphically by year and brand (fig. 8). Few differences can be seen among any of the possible comparisons. Brand D yellow cake for 1960 was lowest in fat of all yellow or white cake mixes. Brand A devil's food and brand C pound cake mixes, made by the same manufacturer, also contained far less fat than corresponding mix types of other brands


Figtre 8.-- Percentages of fat and protein in different brands of yellow and white cake mixes obtained in different years.
'Table 13.-Proximate composition and labeled ingredients of market-purchased dry mixes



| $\mathrm{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0 \\ & -15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \div \\ & + \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & \because \therefore \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & \therefore \\ & \therefore \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & \therefore \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{c}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W } \\ & \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { - } 0 \\ & \text { + サi } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \therefore 0 \\ & 20+1 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{10}{+i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 1． 29 | － | $\cdots$ | 0 | $\cdots$ | N | $\infty$ | 1 | 1000 | ハサ | 0 |
| 亡． | L－ | $\because$ | $\dot{x}$ | － | $\because$ | $\cdots$ | $\mathfrak{i}$ | $\stackrel{\ominus}{\circ}$ | － E － 0 | ＋ 0 | $\underset{\sim}{-}$ |
| $\stackrel{x}{\square}$ | $x=$ | $\cdots$ | $\begin{aligned} & x \\ & \underset{\sim}{x} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\because$ | $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{W}}}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\oplus}$ |  | $\infty_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | $\underbrace{\infty}_{-\infty}$ |
| － | －－ | $\div$ | $\omega$ | － | S． | 0 | 20 | Cr | LSer | 00 | $\infty$ |
| $\therefore$ | $\therefore \therefore$ | oi | $\cdots$ | G | －1 | © | $\circledast$ | oi | $\underline{ }$ | 内＊ | 0 |


'Table 13.- Iroximate composition und labeled ingredients of market-purchased dry mixes-Continued





Table 13.-Proximate composition and labeled ingredients of market-purchased dry mixes-Continued

| Description of sample and date received | Ingredients ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Composition per 100 grams mix |  |  |  |  |  | Ingredients to be added | Matehing prepared product (table 12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Moisture | Food energy | Fat | l'rotein | Ash | Carbohydrate (by difference) |  |  |
| l'IE FILLINGS | Sugar, dextrose, earragheen extract, salt, flavoring, coloring. <br> Sugar, eornstareh, coconut, salt, vanilla, flavoring, coloring. do | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Grams } \\ 0.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calories } \\ 381 \end{gathered}$ | Grams 0. 1 | Grams <br> ${ }^{(3)}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Grams } \\ 0.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Grams } \\ 98.3 \end{array}$ | Milk | Ilem No. |
| (ustari) dessert: <br> Type II (8/59) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (ooconut pie filling: <br> Type III (8/59). |  | 1. 7 | 427 | 10. 3 | 1. 2 | 1. 3 | 85.5 | Milk, egg yolk. | 42 |
| Do. (4/61) |  | 1. 4 | 433 | 11.2 | 1. 7 | 1. 1 | 84.6 |  | 43 |
| FROSTINGS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type I: ${ }_{\text {Brand }}$ A (8/59) (choco- | Sugar, dextrose, cocoa, shortening, non- | 3. 1 | 385 | 7. 4 | 3. 2 | 1. 2 | 85. 1 | Water |  |
| late butter eream) | fat milk solids, salt, flavoring. |  |  |  |  | . 5 | 86. 4 |  |  |
| Brand 13 (9/59) (chocolate fudge). | Sugar, dextrose, shortening, cocoa, salt, flavoring. | . 8 | 408 | 9.8 | 2. 5 | . 5 | 86. 4 | ter. |  |

[^8]Brownie mixes containing egg solids (Type I) were higher in moisture, protein, and ash, and lower in fat than Type III mixes, to which eggs were added during preparation for baking. After baking, the Type I mix was still lower in fat and also slightly lower in protein than the Type III mix. The two brauds of cookie mix analyzed were reasonably close in proximate composition, except that the component ash was more than 50 percent higher in brand A, the mix that contained baking powder rather than soda.

Four brands of pie crust mix, which were analyzed in both 1956 and 1960, and crust mix froni a dry pack of coconut pie, analyzed in both 1959 and 1961, changed little in content of most proximate components. Protein in four of the five brands increased by about 10 percent between the 2 years.

Two brands of biscuit mix had essentially the same composition. Corn muffin mixes also showed good agreement for all proximate components but fat, which was more than 20 percent higher in brand A than in brand C.

Finished products made from mires, except for sugar cookies, were higher in moisture content per 100 grams and lower in caloric value and carbohydrate than were the dry mixes from which they were prepared. The amount and composition of ingredients which were added to the mixes determined changes in content of fat, protein, and ash.

## Other Changes in Proximate Composition With Baking

Pie crusts from baked filled pies, both homemade and made-from-mix, were usually lower in fat and higher in moisture than would be expected from calculations of percentages of fat and water used in their formulation. Similar observations were made on crusts from frozen apple and coconut pies, for which proximate data were obtained both before (table 14) and after (table 12) baking. Other proximate values in these crusts, except for protein in coconut crusts, showed a corresponding decrease. Fillings of both frozen pies decreased in moisture with baking, while other proximate components, with the exception of protein in coconut filling, showed a corresponding increase. Although total weights of these forms of pies decreased because of evaporation during baking and cooling, in most instances the crusts gained in weight. As a result, the proportion of crust to filling in these pies increased with baking. It was evident that moisture shifted from fillings to crusts during the time pies were baked and cooled.

To examine the effect of baking on the pie shell alone, proximate data were obtained on one lot of homemade pie crust which had been divided;
one-half was analyzed unbaked and the other half was analyzed after being baked without a filling. Moisture content dropped from 10.2 percent in the unbaked to 0.7 percent in the baked crust; fat increased from 38.2 percent in the unbaked to 41.8 percent in the baked shell. Fat in the baked crust, calculated from the amount of fat included inl the dough and allowing for weight losses during baking, would be 42.8 percent. As determined by acid hydrolysis, analyzed fat content of the total baked shell, plus the very sinall amount ( $<0.1$ percent) of fat remaining on the pan after baking, equaled 98 percent of the predicted value.

Moisture losses during baking in other ready-tobake items (tables 12 and 14) were usually between 20 and 30 percent, although frozen waffles lost only 12 percent moisture during toasting and cookies baked from commercial refrigerated dough lost as much as 75 percent. Other proximate nutrients increased correspondingly in value, depending on the moisture loss.

## Composition of Frosted Cakes and Brownies

Frozen and bakery devil's food and yellow cakes and frozen brownies contained butter-cream frostings as received in the laboratory. These frostings were removed and analyzed separately, thereby making it possible to calculate the total composition of frosted cakes (table 15) and to make observations on some differences in nutritive value between plain and frosted cakes. Frostings included with these cakes nearly always contained equal or greater percentages of fat and carbohydrate and less protein and ash than the matching cakes.

The proximate composition of frosted cake depends not only on the separate compositions of cake and frosting, but also on the proportions of cake to frosting in the finished product. Proportions of frosting in the total cake ranged from 25 percent of the weight in frozen brand A devil's food (which also contained 23 percent cream filling) to 49 percent in bakery yellow cake. Frozen brownies were 24 percent frosting. In this investigation, frosted cake per 100 -gram portion in most instances was slightly higher in caloric value and fat and correspondingly lower in protein and ash than was plain cake. Per serving, the addition of frosting to a cake in the amounts used on these frozen and bakery cakes resulted in increases in fat, carbohydrate, and energy values ranging from 50 to more than 100 percent. The caloric value per serving of frosted cake was thus approximately equal to that for a serving of pie, and nearly three times higher than that for plain angel or plain pound cake.
Table 14．－Proximate composition of ready－to－bake food items

| 亲 |  |  |  | $\because$ |  |  |  | F |  | 18 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ano } \\ & \dot{\sim} \dot{-\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  | 1050 $\underset{\sim}{\infty} \dot{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nra } \\ & \text { Nis } \\ & \text { Nis } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nog } \\ & \text { wion in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \text { ※ิ } \end{aligned}$ | สૂ่ 囚่ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { min } \\ & \text {-i. } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { \％}}{4}$ |  |  | $\cdots \infty$ | $10 \infty \infty$ | $\xrightarrow{+\cdots}$ |  | 4 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{+10}{-1}$ | －o |
|  | doz |  | $0-0$ -i | M－NO | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \times 0 \\ & -i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Noole } \\ & \text {-Nic } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,10 \\ & -i \omega \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | ${ }_{\infty}+\infty$ | － |
|  | 茫 |  | $\begin{aligned} & N-\infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NON } \\ & \stackrel{O}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0-0 \\ & \dot{-}= \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \cong \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\infty}$ | $0-1$ | N－ |
|  |  |  | Cono | ®®®웅 | かっか\％ | －\％ | がerer | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | \％ | ญิ⿺్入 | 刃⿻上丨 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tor } \\ & \text { rimio } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Brol } \\ & \text { Boig } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NH} \\ & +=10 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\vec{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\infty}$ | $\dot{o}_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -\infty \\ \stackrel{-}{\text { ®⿵冂ें}} \end{array}$ |
|  |  | $\overbrace{0}^{\sim}$ | \％ざき | －n¢ | $\infty$ ¢ |  | ¢్ల్ర్ర | 9 | \％ |  | 48.6 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { on } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ond } \\ & \text { ¢NA } \end{aligned}$ |  | のOn <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOT } \\ & \text { NANA } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \dot{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0 \\ & -8 \\ & \text { 毕 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty, N \\ \dot{\alpha}+\underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | \％ |  | $\stackrel{+0 .}{\sim}$ | $\infty \infty$ | $\stackrel{+\pi}{\square}$ | －00 |  | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{i}$ | orm | － |
|  | ¢ | 哭めの\％ | $+\infty$ $+\sim$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NeNO } \\ & \sim \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\infty$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { asot } \\ & \text { risim } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \infty \mathrm{~m} \\ & \text { +isic } \end{aligned}$ | $\infty$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \infty-1 \\ \sim \end{array}$ | ＋om |
|  | \＃ |  | $\begin{gathered} \infty-\underset{\sim}{\circ} \\ \stackrel{y}{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Nom } \\ \text { N: } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0-0 \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{1}-0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M-0 \\ & 00=1 \\ & 0=10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \infty 010 \\ & \text { + + +ix } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\pm$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ni } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | 00 $=1$ |
|  | 或 |  |  | ¢9\％ | ¢\％\％ |  | 80\％ | $\pm$ | － | \％¢0， | ल⿵冂卄一巛 |
|  | 会 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0,10 \\ & 9 \infty \\ & 906 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nom } \\ & \text { onicio } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \mathrm{NO} \\ & =1+5 i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N-O} \\ & \text {-NGO } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\stackrel{N}{\ominus}}$ | 18 | $\dot{\infty}$ | Qo ¢－\％ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} &(09 / 6) 0 \\ &-(6 ¢ / 8) \circ \\ & \hline \text { ривıя } \end{aligned}$ |  |


'Table 15.-I'roximate composition of ready-to-serve cakes and brownies, with and without frosting ${ }^{1}$ and filling

| Description of sample and date received | 100-gram portion |  |  |  |  |  | Individual serving by volume ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Moisture | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Food } \\ & \text { energy } \end{aligned}$ | Fat | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lro- } \\ & \text { tein } \end{aligned}$ | Ash | Carbohydrate (by difference) | Weight | Moisture | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Food } \\ & \text { energy } \end{aligned}$ | Fat | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro- } \\ & \text { tein } \end{aligned}$ | Ash | Carbohydrate (by difference) |
| DEVIL'S FOOD CAKES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brand A (9/59) : | Grams | Calories | Grams | Grams | Grams | Grams | Grams | Grams | Calories | Grams | Grams | Grams | Grams |
| Cake .-...- | 29.5 | 328 | 13. 7 | 5.0 | 1. 6 | 50.2 | 49 | 14.5 | 161 | 6. 7 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 24. 5 |
| Frosting | 18.7 | 408 | 20. 8 | 1. 9 | . 8 | 57.8 | 24 | 4. 5 | 98 | 5. 0 | . 5 | . 2 | 13.8 |
| Filling (whipped cream) --.------------ | 42.3 | 428 | 41.6 | 1. 8 | . 4 | 13.9 | 22 | 9. 3 | 94 | 9.3 | . 4 | . 1 | 3. 0 |
| Cake, $52 \%$; frosting, $25 \%$; and filling, $23 \%$. | 29.7 | 371 | 21.9 | 3. 5 | 1. 1 | 43. 8 | 95 | 28. 3 | 353 | 20. 9 | 3. 4 | 1. 1 | 41.3 |
| Brand 13 (8/59) : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cake .-. - - - | 24. 7 | 368 | 18. 0 | 5. 2 | 1. 8 | 50. 3 | 70 | 17. 3 | 258 | 12. 6 | 3. 6 | 1. 3 | 35. 2 |
| Frosting | 13. 8 | 405 | 16. 8 | 2. 5 | 1. 0 | 65. 9 | 36 | 5. 0 | 146 | 6. 0 | . 9 | . 4 | 23. 7 |
| Cake, $66 \%$, and frosting, $34 \%$ | 21. 0 | 381 | 17.6 | 4. 3 | 1. 5 | 55.6 | 106 | 22. 3 | 404 | 18. 6 | 4. 5 | 1. 7 | 58. 9 |
| Bakery: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brand $\Lambda(8 / 59)$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cake ------ | 21.6 | 341 | 9.9 | 4. 7 | 1. 7 | 62. 1 | 55 | 11. 9 | 188 | 5. 4 | 2. 6 | -9 | 34. 2 |
| Frosting (white) ---------- | 15.2 | 402 | 15. 4 | 3. 4 | 1. 0 | 65.0 | 24 | 3. 6 | 96 | 3.7 | . 8 | . 2 | 15.7 |
| Cake, $70 \%$, and frosting, $30 \% \ldots$ | 19.7 | 359 | 11.6 | 4. 3 | 1. 5 | 62. 9 | 79 | 15.5 | 284 | 9.1 | 3. 4 | 1. 1 | 49.9 |
| Brand C (9/59) : | 27.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frosting | 14. 7 | 324 376 | 11.8 13.9 | 2. 0 | 1.9 .9 | 68.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cake, $52 \%$, and frosting, $48 \% \ldots$ | 21. 3 | 349 | 12.8 | 3. 8 | 1. 4 | 60.7 |  | ----- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Cakes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cake . . . - | 25. 6 | 360 | 14.7 | 4. 5 | 2. 0 | 53. 2 | 68 | 17. 4 | 245 | 10.0 | 3.1 | 1. 4 | 36.1 |
| Frosting | 14.2 | 387 | 14. 5 | 2. 6 | . 8 | 67.9 | 65 | 9. 2 | 252 | 9. 4 | 1. 7 | . 5 | 44. 2 |
| Cake, $51 \%$, and frosting, $49 \%$ - | 20. 0 | 373 | 14.6 | 3. 6 | 1. 4 | 60.4 | 133 | 26. 6 | 497 | 19.4 | 4.8 | 1. 9 | 80. 3 |
| Brownies: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frozen (9/59) : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brownie. | 13. 1 | 422 | 21. 5 | 5. 7 | 1. 3 | 58. 4 | 23 | 3. 0 | 97 | 4. 9 | 1. 3 | . 3 | 13. 5 |
| Frosting | 10. 8 | 408 | 17. 7 | 2. 3 | 1. 2 | 68.0 | 7 | . 8 | 29 | 1. 2 | . 2 | . 1 | 4. 7 |
| Brownie, $76 \%$, and frosting, $24 \%$ | 12.5 | 419 | 20. 6 | 4.9 | 1. 3 | 60.7 | 30 | 3. 8 | 126 | 6. 1 | 1. 5 | . 4 | 18. 2 |

Chocolate frosting except where otherwise indicated.
2 Volume of individual serving of homemade form of cake without frosting was used as reference. (See table 6.)

## Mineral Content of Selected Dry Mixes

Of the eight minerals (calcium, copper, iron, magnesium, manganese, phosphorus, sodium, and potassium) determined in cake and brownie mixes, those found in greatest concentration were phosphorus, sodium, potassium, and sometimes calcium (table 16). These elements are the ones most likely to be contributed by baking powder, salt, soda, and other learenings, and are found in considerably higher levels in basic ingredients (flour, milk, eggs, cocoa) than are the other minerals studied $(3,13)$.

Calcium was high in either the flour mix or the egg-white mix of angel food cake, but not in both.

Phosphorus varied with the calcium for these mixes, an indication of the use of chemical leavening agents. According to Harrel (4), anhydrous monocalcium phosphate is included in the eggwhite portion, and cream of tartar in the flour portion, of the angel food mix. Data presented here (table 16) indicate, however, that manufacturers differ in the portion of the mix to which they add the calcium phosphate, and that the flour mix which contained calcium phosphate did not, according to potassium content, also contain cream of tartar. The potassium of the egg-white mix could all have been contributed by potassim in the egg white, assuming the mix contained 31 percent egg albumin (4).

Table 16.-Mineral content of cake and brownie mixes, as purchased

| Description of sample and date received | Total solids | Element content per 100 grams of mix |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ca | Mg | P | Cu | Fe | Mn | Na | K ${ }^{1}$ |
| Angel food cake: <br> Brand A (9/59): <br> Flour mix <br> Egg mix <br> Brand B (9,59): <br> Flour mix <br> Egg mix. | Percent98.098.3 | $\begin{array}{r} M g . \\ 202 \\ 202 \end{array}$ | Mg.1021 | Mg.(2)247 | Mg.0.11 | Mg.0.4 | Mg. 0.2 <br> ${ }^{(2)}$ | $\begin{array}{r} M g \\ 116 \\ 270 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mg. } \\ 75 \\ 277 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | . 12 | . 4 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 98.0 | 186 35 | $\stackrel{10}{24}$ | ${ }_{(2)}^{251}$ | . 110 | .5 .5 | (2) $^{.2}$ | 200 | 26 246 |
| Devil's food cake: <br> Brand A (9/59) . . | 96. 9 | 35 | 37 | 172 | . 28 | 2. 1 | . 3 | 514 | 139 |
| Potid cafe: <br> Brand C (8 59) | 97.1 | 20 | 9 | 133 | . 10 | . 7 | . 3 | 284 | 43 |
| Yellow cake: <br> Brand A (9/59) | 97.4 | 143 | 12 | 275 | . 11 | . 8 | . 3 | 415 | 78 |
| Brand B (9/59) | 96.5 | 136 | 10 | 242 | . 12 | 1. 4 | . 3 | 350 | 89 |
| Brownies: <br> Type I (9/59). | 97.0 | 21 | 46 | 105 | 30 | 1. 2 | . 4 | 299 | 163 |
| Type III: <br> Brand A (9/59) | 97.8 | 69 | 63 | 124 | . 41 | 2. 5 | . 5 | 158 | 167 |
| Brand B (8/59) | 97.6 | 17 | 41 | 100 | . 71 | 1. 2 | . 3 | 230 | 131 |

[^9]Calcium was low in the devil's food cake mix which, according to the label, contained nonfat milk solids. The protein and calcium contents of this mix indicated the amount of milk solids present was low. Calcium levels in yellow cake mixes were four times that of the one devil's food mix. Magnesium, copper, iron, and potassium were high in the mixes containing cocon. Manganese levels were low and varied little among the mixes.

Although commercial cakes as a whole contained more ash than corresponding homemade items, cakes made from mixes, except for devil's food, contained amounts of total ash equal to or less than the homemade. The content of the four major minerals in homemade and made-from-mix cakes and brownies was estimated from data for the sodium, potassium, calcium, and phosphorus content of individual ingredients $(3,13)$ and from data reported in table 16 for the mineral content of the mixes. As calculated, mix angel food cakes, which depended on calcium phosphate for leavening, were considerably higher in calcium and
phosphorus and lower in potassium than the homemade cake; estimated values for calcium in the homemade, brand A , and brand B made-frommix cakes were 6,53 , and 104 mg ., respectively, per 100 grams baked cake. Corresponding potassium values were 21,53 , and 129 mg . Of the mixes studied, only the devil's food cake ( 381 mg .) was equal to the homemade form ( 389 mg .) in sodium content of 100 grams baked cake; other mixes were considerably lower in sodium than were the homemade items. Sodium in the homemade cake could be adjusted, of course, by decreasing the salt content or changing the kind of baking powder.

On the average, cakes and brownies made from mixes were about equal to homemade in calcium and phosphorus content and contained about two-thirds the sodium and potassium levels of the homemade. Average values for the homemade and made-from-mix items, in milligrams per 100 grams baked weight, were, respectively, calcium, 57 and 69; phosphorus, 126 and 154 ; sodium, 366 and 232 ; and potassium, 174 and 123.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Italic numbers in parentheses refer to Literature Cited, p. 39.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Type I mix requires water, and Type III mix requires eggs and other ingredients.
    ${ }^{2}$ Weight was recorded in grams and converted to ounces.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Type I mix requires water, and Type III mix requires more than one ingredient to be added.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Type I mix requires water.

[^4]:    * Not studied in frozen form

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Amount needed to cover two 8 - or 9-inch layers.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Preparation date was 1 to 3 days after purehase, exeept for bakery form for which the month of purehase is given.
    ${ }^{2}$ Volume and weight of individual serving of homemade product was used as reference. (See table 6.)
    ${ }^{3}$ Weight was recorded in grams and eonverted to ounces.

    - Proximate eomposition was determined on same sample. (See table 12.)
    ${ }^{5}$ Weight without frosting.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Preparation date was 1 to 3 days after purchase, except for brown-and-serve and bakery forms for which the month of purchase is given.
    ${ }_{3}^{2}$ Yolume and weight of individual serving of homemade product was used as reference. (See table 6.)
    ${ }^{3}$ Weight was recorded in grams and converted to ounces.

    - February 1960.
    ${ }^{5}$ Proximate composition was determined on second replication.
    ${ }^{6}$ Proximate composition was determined on same sample. (Sce table 12.)

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ingredients are listed in descending order by weight, as givell on paekage labels. $\quad$ mix type yielded two 8- or 9-ineh layers ${ }_{3}$ Pachiologieally unavailable.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Flame photometer determination.
    ${ }^{2}$. Below the level detectable on the spectrograph.

